

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Established in 1960, the *Adelaide Law Review* is one of the oldest and most prestigious law reviews in Australia. The *Review* publishes articles, comments, review essays, case notes and book reviews on all areas of the law from the foremost national and international legal scholars. Submissions to the *Adelaide Law Review* receive independent, anonymous peer review prior to acceptance. The *Review* typically publishes two issues each year and is managed by an Editorial Board comprising select faculty members of the Adelaide Law School, a team of Student Editors selected each year on the basis of academic excellence in the Bachelor of Laws program, and Associate Editors chosen each year from the top Student Editors in the previous year. The *Adelaide Law Review* is published by Adelaide Law School, Adelaide University.

Advisory Board

The Honourable Professor Catherine Branson AC QC

Chancellor, The University of Adelaide; Former President, Australian Human Rights Commission; Former Justice, Federal Court of Australia

The Honourable Professor John J Doyle AC QC

Former Chief Justice, Supreme Court of South Australia

Professor John V Orth

William Rand Kenan Jr Professor of Law Emeritus, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Professor Emerita Rosemary J Owens AO

Former Dean, Adelaide Law School

The Honourable Justice Melissa Perry

Federal Court of Australia

Professor Emeritus Christopher F Symes

Former Dean, Adelaide Law School

The Honourable Margaret White AO

Former Justice, Supreme Court of Queensland

Professor John M Williams AM

Dame Roma Mitchell Chair of Law and Former Dean, Adelaide Law School

Editorial Board

Professor Matthew Stubbs FAAL

Editor in Chief

Dr Joshua Curtis and Associate Professor Samuel White

Editors

Ilya Aidman, Jonathan Davies, Jasper Dowdell, Jessica March and Carmela Scalzi

Associate Editors

Renae Calderisi, Tara Duvnjak, Luke Feijen, Ethan Fuller, Navah Heidari, Jennifer Lamb, Prabhnoor Malhi, Sam Rees, Lucia de Ross-Field, Leah Schlein, Zane Shahin, Kasia Sparrow Sinclair, Edward Swinden, Imogen Tomich and Billy Wright

Student Editors

Ethical Practices

Adelaide Law Review adheres to the Core Practices of the Committee on Publication Ethics.

Recognising our ethical responsibilities, we take measures to prevent malpractice and we are committed to ensuring that reprint, advertising or other commercial revenue or political gain has no impact or influence on editorial decisions. We publish papers based only on their quality, importance, originality, and relevance to our remit. We evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, citizenship, ethnic origin, or political philosophy of the authors. We are committed to ensuring ethics in publication and high quality of scholarship. Conformance to standards of ethical behaviour is therefore expected of our authors, reviewers and editors.

Author Responsibilities

By submitting a manuscript, the author(s) warrant that the manuscript is their own, original work and that it has neither been published previously nor is currently being considered for publication elsewhere. Submitting the same manuscript concurrently to more than one journal is unacceptable. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the submitted work. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical conduct and is unacceptable. The submitting author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in approving the final version of the paper and its submission. All persons identified as authors should have significantly contributed to the research. Authors

are required to declare, on submission of a manuscript, if they have received any relevant financial support in the preparation of the manuscript. There are no fees for submission of manuscripts or publication of accepted manuscripts. However, any figures in manuscripts that are of too low a resolution to produce a suitable print quality will be re-drawn by the *Adelaide Law Review's* typesetters at the author's cost. Any author(s) who become aware of any errors in their work or any failures to meet the standards prescribed in this Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement must immediately provide a retraction or correction to the Editor in Chief.

Peer Review Process

This journal uses a double-blind peer review process, in which the Editorial Board is assisted in making editorial decisions by reports provided by expert referees. The review process usually takes 6-10 weeks. Any manuscripts received for review are treated as confidential documents. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used by a reviewer without the express written consent of the author. Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments, pointing out any relevant published work which is not already cited. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers. Peer reviewers' identities will remain confidential, as will the identities of submitting authors (until publication).

Publication Ethics

The Editorial Board will evaluate manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit and fit with the journal's themes. The editors are responsible for deciding which submitted papers should be published. The editor and any editorial staff must maintain confidentiality and not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the submitting author, reviewers, potential reviewers, and other editorial advisers. The editors will not use unpublished information in their own research without the express written consent of the author. Editors will take reasonable steps to identify and prevent the publication of papers where research misconduct has occurred, and take reasonable responsive measures (including

investigating whether the publication of retractions, corrections, clarifications or apologies is warranted) when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper.

Copyright and Access

The *Adelaide Law Review* is available on the Adelaide University website at no charge, as well as through relevant external providers (for free or at a charge).

Archiving

The *Adelaide Law Review* is deposited in institutional libraries including the National Library of Australia, State Library of South Australia, Parliamentary Library of South Australia and Adelaide University Library. It is electronically archived in the *Adelaide Research & Scholarship* digital repository of Adelaide University <https://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/>.